

**DEA SCREENING TOOL REPORT
AND SITE SENSITIVITY VERIFICATION REPORT
FOR
PROPOSED PART 2 EA AMENDMENT APPLICATION
FOR RELOCATION OF STORMWATER OUTLET FOR
FORMALISATION OF MANDELA SQUARE
INFORMAL SETTLEMENT AREA ON ERVEN RE/1
AND RE/937
MONTAGU**

WESTERN CAPE

EA REF: 16/3/3/1/B1/11/1065/24

26 May 2026

Prepared for: Langeberg Municipality
Tel: 023 615 8001
Email: mm@langeberg.gov.za

Prepared by: Johmandie Pienaar
Enviro-EAP (Pty) Ltd
PO Box 205
Agulhas
7282
Tel: 072 240 3092
Fax: 086 435 4691
Email: admin@enviro-eap.co.za



Enviro-EAP
Environmental Consultants



TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
1.INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 Terms of Reference	2
1.2 Conditions Relating to this Report	2
1.3 Site Sensitivity Verification Methods Used	3
2. SITE SENSIVITY FINDINGS OF THE DEA ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING REPORT	3
3. EAP SITE SENSITIVITY VERIFICATION FINDINGS AND EVIDENCE	3
4. SPECIALIST ASSESSMENTS REQUIRED VERIFICATION FINDINGS	12
5. EAP DECLARATION	14
APPENDIX A: DEA ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING REPORT	16
APPENDIX B: HERITAGE WESTERN CAPE RECORD OF DECISION	30

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Terms of Reference

The “Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (“NEMA”), when applying for Environmental Authorisation” (“the Protocols”) (Government Notice No. 320 as published in Government Gazette No. 43110 on 20 March 2020) came into effect on 9 May 2020.

This report is compiled in accordance with Section 1 Site Sensitivity Verification and Minimum Report Content Requirements:

Prior to beginning the assessment, the current use of the land and the potential environmental sensitivity of the site as identified by the national web based environmental screening tool must be confirmed by undertaking a site sensitivity verification.

The site sensitivity verification must be undertaken by an environmental assessment practitioner or a registered specialist.

The site sensitivity verification must be undertaken through the use of:

- (a) a desk top analysis, using satellite imagery;
- (b) a preliminary on-site inspection to identify; and
- (c) any other available and relevant information.

The outcome of the Site Sensitivity Verification must be recorded in the form of a report that:

- (a) confirms or disputes the current use of the land and environmental sensitivity as identified by the screening tool, such as new developments or infrastructure, the change in vegetation cover or status etc.;
- (b) contains a motivation and evidence (e.g. photographs) of either the verified or different use of the land and environmental sensitivity; and
- (c) is submitted together with the relevant report prepared in accordance with the requirement of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations.

1.2 Conditions Relating to this Report

The findings, results, observations, conclusions and recommendations given in this report are based on the author’s best scientific and professional knowledge as well as available information and knowledge of the area.

This report may not be altered or added to without the prior written consent of the author. This restraint also refers to electronic copies of this report which are supplied as sub portion of other reports, including main reports. Similarly, any recommendations, statements, or conclusions drawn from or based on this report must specifically refer to this report. If such comments form part of a main report for this investigation, the report must be included in its entirety as an appendix or separate section to the main report.

1.3 Site Sensitivity Verification Methods Used

The EAP made use of the following verification methods to compile the site sensitivity report:

- a desk top analysis using (amongst others) Google Earth, Cape Farm Mapper and BGIS; and onsite inspection conducted on 13 April 2026;
- taking into account all information as provided by the proposed developer.

2. SITE SENSITIVITY FINDINGS OF THE DEA ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING REPORT

The table below indicates the relevant environmental sensitivities that have been identified by the DEA Environmental Screening Tool and associated level of each environmental sensitivity allocated to each theme.

Table 1: Environmental Sensitivities Identified and Associated Level Thereof allocated by DEA Screening Tool

THEME	LEVEL OF SENSITIVITY
Agriculture	Medium
Animal Species	Medium
Aquatic Biodiversity	Very High
Archaeological and Cultural Heritage	Very High
Civil Aviation	High
Defence	Low
Palaeontology	Medium
Plant Species	Medium
Terrestrial Biodiversity	Low

3. EAP SITE SENSITIVITY VERIFICATION FINDINGS AND EVIDENCE

Authorised project description -

As per the EA Ref: 16/3/3/1/B1/11/1065/24 and issued 21 May 2025 the holder of the EA was authorised to undertake the following alternative as per the listed activities authorised:


The development will entail the installation of roads and service infrastructure (water, sewer, stormwater pipelines, stormwater discharge points and ablution facilities) for the Mandela Square informal settlement on the Remainder of Erf No. 1 and the Remainder of Erf No. 937, Montagu. The infrastructure will be developed within, and within 32m of a watercourse. In addition, temporary informal structures that are located within the 1:100year floodline of the river will be removed and a fence will be erected to demarcate the 1:100 year floodline. The development will have a footprint of approximately 3.6ha.

Approved project description and listed activities to remain as is.

Proposed Layout Amendment –


Civil services construction for THE FORMALISATION OF SERVICES AND INFRASTRUCTURE FOR THE MANDELA SQUARE INFORMAL SETTLEMENT AREA ON THE REMAINDER OF ERF NO. 1 AND THE REMAINDER OF ERF NO. 937, MONTAGU. Ref: 16/3/3/1/B1/11/1065/24 is currently underway. Due to one of the informal settlers refusing to relocate their structure currently located within the 1:100 year floodplain area one of the four stormwater outlets as part of the authorised development must be relocated.

As per the sketch below, the relevant stormwater outlet is to be moved 38 metres south-east of its original planned location within the 1:100 year floodline area of the Kingna River (original location as part of approved layout was also within the 1:100 year floodplain area of the Kingna Rivier).



Footprint size of the stormwater outlet with rock gabions is $4\text{m} \times 4\text{m} = 16\text{m}^2$; Excavation depth varies between 300mm for the Reno Matters and 1m to 2m max for the outlet itself.

The relocation of the stormwater outlet still falls within the 1;100 year floodline area of the Kingna Rivier but is not located within the watercourse itself, hence it will not lead to the development of infrastructure with a physical footprint of more than 100 square metres within 32 metres of a watercourse nor would it lead to the infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock or more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse. The current state of the relocation site is significantly transformed due to the informal settlement developments with illegally dumped waste and rocks within an informal gravel trench and *Phragmites australis* reeds growing at the bottom of the informal trench leading towards the Kingna river tributary. **The proposed amendment application is therefore for the amendment of the approved civil services layout plan to accommodate the relocation of the stormwater outlet as proposed.**



Map 1: Location of Montagu in the Western Cape.



Map 2: Location of Mandela Square informal settlement (in yellow) in Montagu, Western Cape.



Map 3: Montagu Mandela Square informal settlement area latest 2024 Google Earth image.



Photo 1: Aerial photo of informal structure which the owner is refusing to remove and old position of proposed stormwater outlet (orange square) and new proposed position of stormwater outlet (green square) at Mandela Square informal settlement.




Photo 2: Informal structure in the way of original stormwater outlet location.




Photo 3: Original planned location for stormwater outlet.



Photo 4: New planned location for stormwater outlet.



Map 4: Proposed relocation of stormwater outlet for Montagu Mandela Square informal settlement services formalisation.



Map 5: “Delineation of Wetlands and Riparian Areas” delineation methods, as published by DWAF (2005) was followed for the delineation of the wetland areas¹. The remaining wetland areas are located within the Kingna River riparian area which is indicated as a blue border. The proposed main development areas indicated as a red border on the map falls outside of the delineated wetland and riparian area (blue border) as well as outside of 1:100 year floodline area (orange border). The yellow circles represents the location of the stormwater discharge points of which one already exists. And the purple circle represents the relocation of the one stormwater outlet.

Table 2: EAP Findings that either Confirms or Disputes the Environmental Sensitivity as Identified by the DEA Screening Tool

THEME	EAP RECOMMENDED LEVEL OF SENSITIVITY	EAP MOTIVATION	EAP EVIDENCE
Agriculture	Negligible	The proposed relocation of the stormwater outlet is not within viable agricultural productions land as it is to be located along the edge of the existing informal settlement area and within the 1:100 year floodline area of the Kingna River.	Aerial and site photographic evidence as provided in this report.
Animal Species	Negligible	The current state of the relocation site is significantly transformed due to the informal settlement developments with illegally dumped waste and rocks within an informal gravel trench and <i>Phragmites australis</i> reeds growing at the bottom of the informal trench leading towards the Kingna river tributary.	Aerial and site photographic evidence as provided in this report.
Aquatic Biodiversity	High	The proposed stormwater outlet site is adjacent to the non-perennial Kingna river and formal stormwater discharge is proposed along the 1:100 year floodline area of the Kingna river.	Aerial and site photographic evidence as provided in this report.
Archaeological and Cultural Heritage	Negligible	As per the original HWC NID submitted, there are no significant archaeological or cultural heritage features on the site or surrounds that will be impacted upon.	Heritage Western Cape Record of Decision.
Civil Aviation	Negligible	The development will not pose any threat to civil aviation within the area.	Relocation of stormwater outlet for Mandela Square Informal Settlement as described.
Defence	Negligible	There are no defence related structures or zones on the site nor within close proximity to the site.	Relocation of stormwater outlet for Mandela Square Informal Settlement as described.
Palaeontology	Negligible	As per the original HWC NID submitted, there are no significant archaeological or cultural heritage features on the site or surrounds that will be impacted upon.	Heritage Western Cape Record of Decision.
Plant Species	Negligible	The current state of the relocation site is significantly transformed due to the informal settlement developments with illegally dumped waste and rocks within an informal gravel trench and <i>Phragmites</i>	Aerial and site photographic evidence as provided in this report.

		<i>australis</i> reeds growing at the bottom of the informal trench leading towards the Kingna river tributary.	
Terrestrial Biodiversity	Negligible	The current state of the relocation site is significantly transformed due to the informal settlement developments with illegally dumped waste and rocks within an informal gravel trench and <i>Phragmites australis</i> reeds growing at the bottom of the informal trench leading towards the Kingna river tributary.	Aerial and site photographic evidence as provided in this report.

4. SPECIALIST ASSESSMENTS REQUIRED VERIFICATION FINDINGS

Table 3: The table below indicates the specialist assessments identified by the screening report and reasons/motivation for the specialist assessment to be conducted or not to be conducted

Specialist Report Identified	Reason/s for the Assessment to be Conducted or Not to be Conducted (as provided by the EAP)
1. Landscape/Visual Impact Assessment	Not required – Informal settlement activities on the proposed development site already commenced since 2003 and the site has been completely developed with informal settlement for several years now adjacent to existing low income residential area and not along any main tourists routes.
2. Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment	Not required – There are no archaeological or cultural heritage features on the site or surrounds that will be impacted upon. Heritage Western Cape NID submitted and confirmed no HIA require. Refer to attached RoD.
3. Palaeontological Impact Assessment	Not required – There are no archaeological or cultural heritage features on the site or surrounds that will be impacted upon. Heritage Western Cape NID submitted and confirmed no HIA require. Refer to attached RoD.
4. Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment	Not required – Terrestrial Biodiversity Compliance Statement already conducted during EA application BAR process. Proposed stormwater outlet relocation will have no additional or amended impacts on terrestrial biodiversity features as previously assessed and mitigated in the EMP where applicable.
5. Aquatic Biodiversity Impact Assessment	Aquatic Biodiversity impact Assessment in terms of the protocols was conducted and confirmation for applicability of General Authorisation under the National Water Act obtained during EA application and BAR process. The Aquatic

	Biodiversity Impact Assessment are to be updated/amended to assess potential aquatic impacts of relocation of stormwater outlet as proposed.
6. Hydrology Assessment	The Aquatic Biodiversity Impact Assessment are to be updated/amended to assess potential aquatic impacts of relocation of stormwater outlet as proposed.
7. Socio-Economic Impact Assessment	Not required – continuation of already established informal settlement on site. Will have positive impact on socio-economic characteristics of the area as it will provide formal services and infrastructure to the existing informal settlement.
8. Plant Species Assessment	Not required – Plant Species Compliance Statement already conducted during EA application BAR process. Proposed stormwater outlet relocation will have no additional or amended impacts on terrestrial plant species as previously assessed and mitigated in the EMP where applicable.
9. Animal Species Assessment	Not required – Animal Species Compliance Statement already conducted during EA application BAR process. Proposed stormwater outlet relocation will have no additional or amended impacts on terrestrial animal species as previously assessed and mitigated in the EMP where applicable.

5. DECLARATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PRACTITIONER ("EAP")

I **Johmandie Pienaar**, as the appointed EAP hereby declare/affirm the correctness of the information provided or to be provided as part of the report, and that:

- In terms of the general requirement to be independent:
 - other than fair remuneration for work performed in terms of this application, have no business, financial, personal or other interest in the development proposal or application and that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity; or
 - ~~am not independent, but another specialist (the "Review Specialist") that meets the general requirements set out in Regulation 13 of the NEMA EIA Regulations has been appointed to review my work (Note: a declaration by the review specialist must be submitted);~~
- In terms of the remainder of the general requirements for an EAP, am fully aware of and meet all of the requirements and that failure to comply with any the requirements may result in disqualification.
- I have disclosed, to the Applicant, the specialist (if any), the Competent Authority and registered interested and affected parties, all material information that have or may have the potential to influence the decision of the Competent Authority or the objectivity of any report, plan or document prepared or to be prepared as part of this application;
- I am aware that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 48 of the EIA Regulations.



Signature of the EAP:

26 May 2026

Date:

Enviro-EAP (Pty) Ltd

Name of company (if applicable):

APPENDIX A: DEA ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY SCREENING REPORT

SCREENING REPORT FOR AN ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORIZATION AS REQUIRED BY THE 2014 EIA REGULATIONS – PROPOSED SITE ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY

EIA Reference number: 16/3/3/1/B1/11/1065/24

Project name: Montagu Mandela Square Informal Settlements Part 2 EA Amendment

Project title: -

Date screening report generated: 26/05/2026 14:28:26

Applicant: Langeberg Municipality

Compiler: Johmandie Pienaar - Enviro-EAP

Compiler signature:

.....

Application Category: Any activities within or close to a watercourse


Table of Contents

Proposed Project Location	3
Orientation map 1: General location	3
Map of proposed site and relevant area(s)	4
Cadastral details of the proposed site	4
Wind and Solar developments with an approved Environmental Authorisation or applications under consideration within 30 km of the proposed area	4
Environmental Management Frameworks relevant to the application	4
Environmental screening results and assessment outcomes	5
Relevant development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions	5
Proposed Development Area Environmental Sensitivity	5
Specialist assessments identified	5
Results of the environmental sensitivity of the proposed area	7
MAP OF RELATIVE AGRICULTURE THEME SENSITIVITY	7
MAP OF RELATIVE ANIMAL SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY	8
MAP OF RELATIVE AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY	9
MAP OF RELATIVE ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL HERITAGE THEME SENSITIVITY	10
MAP OF RELATIVE CIVIL AVIATION THEME SENSITIVITY	11
MAP OF RELATIVE DEFENCE THEME SENSITIVITY	12
MAP OF RELATIVE PALEONTOLOGY THEME SENSITIVITY	13
MAP OF RELATIVE PLANT SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY	14
MAP OF RELATIVE TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY	15


Proposed Project Location

Orientation map 1: General location

General Orientation: Montagu Mandela Square Informal Settlements Part 2 EA Amendment



Map of proposed site and relevant area(s)



Cadastral details of the proposed site

Property details:

No	Farm Name	Farm/ Erf No	Portion	Latitude	Longitude	Property Type
1	MONTAGU	937	0	33°46'12.18S	20°8'55.95E	Erven

Development footprint¹ vertices:
No development footprint(s) specified.

Wind and Solar developments with an approved Environmental Authorisation or applications under consideration within 30 km of the proposed area

No nearby wind or solar developments found.

Environmental Management Frameworks relevant to the application

No intersections with EMF areas found.

¹ “development footprint”, means the area within the site on which the development will take place and includes all ancillary developments for example roads, power lines, boundary walls, paving etc. which require vegetation clearance or which will be disturbed and for which the application has been submitted.

Environmental screening results and assessment outcomes

The following sections contain a summary of any development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions that apply to the proposed development site as well as the most environmental sensitive features on the site based on the site sensitivity screening results for the application classification that was selected. The application classification selected for this report is:

Any activities within or close to a watercourse.

Relevant development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions

The following development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions and their implications that apply to this site are indicated below.

Incentive, restriction or prohibition	Implication
Strategic Gas Pipeline Corridors-Phase 1a & 1b: Saldanha to Ankerlig and Saldanha to Mossel Bay	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/DevelopmentZones/Combined_GAS.pdf
South African Conservation Areas	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/DevelopmentZones/SACAD_OR_2025_Q3_Metadata.pdf

Proposed Development Area Environmental Sensitivity

The following summary of the development site environmental sensitivities is identified. Only the highest environmental sensitivity is indicated. The footprint environmental sensitivities for the proposed development footprint as identified, are indicative only and must be verified on site by a suitably qualified person before the specialist assessments identified below can be confirmed.

Theme	Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
Agriculture Theme			X	
Animal Species Theme			X	
Aquatic Biodiversity Theme	X			
Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Theme	X			
Civil Aviation Theme		X		
Defence Theme				X
Paleontology Theme			X	
Plant Species Theme			X	
Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme				X

Specialist assessments identified

Based on the selected classification, and the known impacts associated with the proposed development, the following list of specialist assessments have been identified for inclusion in the assessment report. It is the responsibility of the EAP to confirm this list and to motivate in the assessment report, the reason for not including any of the identified specialist study including the provision of photographic evidence of the site situation.

No	Specialist	Assessment Protocol
----	------------	---------------------

	assessment	
1	Landscape/Visual Impact Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment Protocols.pdf
2	Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/GuidanceforHIA.pdf
3	Palaeontology Impact Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/GuidanceforPIA.pdf
4	Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment Protocols.pdf
5	Aquatic Biodiversity Impact Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted Aquatic Biodiversity Assessment Protocols.pdf
6	Hydrology Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment Protocols.pdf
7	Socio-Economic Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment Protocols.pdf
8	Plant Species Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted Plant Species Assessment Protocols.pdf
9	Animal Species Assessment	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted Animal Species Assessment Protocols.pdf

The following section represents the results of the screening for environmental sensitivity of the proposed site for relevant environmental themes associated with the project classification. It is the duty of the EAP to ensure that the environmental themes provided by the screening tool are comprehensive and complete for the project. Refer to the disclaimer.

Legend:

- Very High
- High
- Medium
- Low

Species: Bat HBB, Canine, MCM, Inbarap, INCREMENT E, NISBY, Bat Lysar, BFTL, Bat Vireo, Ring Billed, Bat Toms, Bat Chiroptera, NCSC, All Specialized Bat communities, and the Old West Community.

0 0.02 0.04 0.06 Kilometers

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
		X	

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	07. Low-Moderate

MAP OF RELATIVE ANIMAL SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY




Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
		X	

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	Actinopterygii-Pseudobarbus burchelli Brede

MAP OF RELATIVE AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
X			

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Very High	ESA 1: Aquatic
Very High	Wetlands_Floodplain
Very High	Rivers

MAP OF RELATIVE ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL HERITAGE THEME SENSITIVITY




Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
X			

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Very High	Within 2km of a Grade II Heritage site

MAP OF RELATIVE CIVIL AVIATION THEME SENSITIVITY




Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
	X		

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
High	Within 8 km of other civil aviation aerodrome
Medium	Between 8 and 15 km of other civil aviation aerodrome

MAP OF RELATIVE DEFENCE THEME SENSITIVITY




Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			X

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low Sensitivity

MAP OF RELATIVE PALEONTOLOGY THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
		X	

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	Features with a Medium paleontological sensitivity

MAP OF RELATIVE PLANT SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY



Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
		X	

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	Drosanthemum lavisii
Medium	Ruschia amicornum
Medium	Diosma passerinoides

MAP OF RELATIVE TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			X

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low Sensitivity

APPENDIX B: HERITAGE WESTERN CAPE RECORD OF DECISION

Our Ref: HM / CAPE WINELANDS/ LANGEBOEG/ MONTAGUE / ERVEN RE/1 AND RE/937
Case No: HWC23052311SB0607
Enquiries: Stephanie Barnardt
E-mail: Stephanie.Barnardt@westerncape.gov.za
Tel: 021 483 5959



Applicant: Johmandie Pienaar
johmandie@enviro-eap.co.za
Owner: Langeberg Municipality
mm@langeberg.gov.za

RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO DEVELOP: FINAL
In terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) and the Western Cape
Provincial Gazette 6061, Notice 298 of 2003

NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO DEVELOP: PROPOSED FORMALIZATION OF SERVICES IN THE MANDELA SQUARE SETTLEMENT, MONTAGUE, SUBMITTED IN TERMS OF SECTION 38(1) OF THE NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT (ACT 25 OF 1999)

The matter above has reference.

Heritage Western Cape is in receipt of the above matter received. This matter was discussed at the Heritage Officers meeting held on 19 June 2023.

You are hereby notified that, since there is no reason to believe that the proposed formalization of services in the Mandela Square Settlement, Montague impact on heritage resources, no further action under Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) is required.

However, should any heritage resources, including evidence of graves and human burials, archaeological material and paleontological material be discovered during the execution of the activities above, all works must be stopped immediately, and Heritage Western Cape must be notified without delay. Please note Heritage Western Cape's Chance Finds procedure.

This letter does not exonerate the applicant from obtaining any necessary approval from any other applicable statutory authority.

HWC reserves the right to request additional information as required.

Should you have any further queries, please contact the official above and quote the case number.


Waseefa Dhansay
Assistant Director: Professional Services



www.westerncape.gov.za/cas

Street Address: Protea Assurance Building, Green Market Square, Cape Town, 8000 • **Postal Address:** P.O. Box 1665, Cape Town, 8000
• **Tel:** +27 (0)21 483 5959 • **E-mail:** ceoheritage@westerncape.gov.za

Straatadres: Protea Assuransie gebou, Groentemarkplein, Kaapstad, 8000 • **Posadres:** Posbus 1665, Kaapstad, 8000
• **Tel:** +27 (0)21 483 5959 • **E-pos:** ceoheritage@westerncape.gov.za

Idilesi yendawo: kumgangatho 3, kwisakhiwo iprotea Assurance, Greenmarket Square, eKapa, 8000 • **Idilesi yeposi:** Inombolo yebhokisi yeposi 1665, eKapa, 8000 • **Iinombolo zomnxeba:** +27 (0)21 483 5959 • **Idilesi ye-imeyile:** ceoheritage@westerncape.gov.za